

REMARKS

1. I don't see any grounds for "Claim 2 rejection under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Antepenکو".

- **Antepenکو** U.S.Pat.No.5,199,111 Claim: **"a pair of generally L-shaped pipes, each having a first end and a second end "** page 3, paragraph 62, 63.
- **Hanciulesco** Application No.10,708,966 Claim: **"Toilet Tank, having back and front openings connected to an air passageway, an air filter and grille"**

To further illustrate the differences between those inventions (above mentioned) see the drawings attached: pag.6 & pag.7

2. If we compare **Antepenکو** U.S.Pat.No.5,199,111 with **Pope Sr.** U.S.Pat.No.6,363,542 B1 we see more anticipation than between **Hanciulesco** Application No.10,708,966 and **Antepenکو** U.S.Pat.No.5,199,111.

3. Hanciulesco Application No.10,708,966 is:

- A utility invention most desirable in all homes and business offices across U.S.
- A Green House fixture, improving the indoor air quality (I.A.Q), easy to implement with a minimum or no extra cost.
- The inventor is 73 years senior citizen and has been waiting for the patent registration more than 3 1/2 years. (4.03.04)

In the light all of the above, with all do respect, I request for a reconsideration of the rejection and approve the application.

Best regards,

Barbu Hanciulesco

